reactor. After the appropriate time, the solvent was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and the residual material dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian $400-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer.

The laser flash photolysis apparatus has been described. ${ }^{8}$
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#### Abstract

Reactions of [Y][closo-1,2-Me $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-3-(\equiv \mathrm{CR})-3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NEt}_{4}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{4} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$ with aqueous $\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$ afforded the salts [ Y$]\left[\right.$ closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-2-\mathrm{X}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ]; the structure of the product with $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ has been established by X -ray diffraction. A notable structural feature of the anion is that the carborane CMe groups do not occupy adjacent vertices, unlike the situation in the alkylidyne precursor complex. This observation is particularly remarkable in that the framework rearrangement occurs rapidly at temperatures as low as $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In addition, one of the boron atoms in the lower pentagonal ring of the cage carries a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ substituent which evidently results from insertion of an initially formed alkylidene fragment into a cage $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. Reactions between the salts [ Y$]\left[\right.$ closo-1,8-Me $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-2-\mathrm{X}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] and 1 mol equiv of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in the presence of CO gave the compounds [closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-2,2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] in which the cage CMe groups remain separated. In contrast, an excess of the reagent $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of the same species and the compounds [closo-1,2-Me $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-8-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-3,3,3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] containing adjacent CMe groups. These reactions are reversible: Addition of $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ to tetrahydrofuran solutions of the tetracarbonyl species regenerates [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ] [closo-1,8-$\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$. This is a very rare example of a reversible polytopal rearrangement of CMe groups. Reactions between [Y][closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\mathrm{X}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] and the alkynes $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Me}\right)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ readily afforded the (alkyne)tungstacarborane complexes [closo-1,8-$\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2-\left(\eta-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right)-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$. An X-ray diffraction study of one of these $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ confirmed the structure. Protonation of the salt [ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,6-Me $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-4-\left(\equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-4,4-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-4,1,6-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ with $\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$ yielded the salts $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,7-Me $\left.\mathrm{M}_{2}-2-\mathrm{X}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,7-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$, for which an X-ray diffraction study ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ ) revealed that a 13 - to 12 -vertex cage degradation had occurred, as well as loss of a $\mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ fragment. This degradation is remarkable considering the acidic reaction conditions. In addition to the three X -ray diffraction studies, the new compounds were characterized by microanalysis; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopy; and infrared spectroscopy.


## Introduction

We have recently been exploring the chemistry of salts of the anionic alkylidynecarborane complexes [closo-1,2-Me $2_{2}-3$-( $\equiv$ $\left.\mathrm{CR}) \cdot \mathbf{3 , 3 - ( C O})_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{MC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{1} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{alkyl}$, alkynyl, aryl) and [closo-1,6-Me $-4-\left(\equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-4,4$ (CO) $\left.{ }_{2}-4,1,6-\mathrm{MC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]^{-}(\mathbf{2} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}){ }^{3} \quad$ Our initial studies concentrated on the use of these complexes for the synthesis of compounds containing metal-metal bonds, and numerous di-, tri-, and polynuclear metal species have now been prepared. ${ }^{4}$ During this early work, the carborane cage was used as an isolobal replacement for the more widely studied cyclopentadienyl ligand. Thus, the metal atom was regarded as $\eta^{5}$ coordinated by the open pentagonal CCBBB face of a nido 11 -vertex dianion [7,8$\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]^{2-}$ or $\eta^{6}$ coordinated by the puckered CBCBBB face of a nido 12 -vertex dianion $\left[7,9-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]^{2-}$. Although the first results supported the use of this formalism, in many of these reactions the carborane ligand does not adopt a spectator role,

[^0]leading to the frequent observation of exopolyhedral $B-H-M$ or $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{M}$ (metal) bonds, or insertion of the alkylidyne ligand into a $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the cage to give a $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ fragment. Consequently, we have preferred to regard anions of the salts 1 and 2 , respec-

tively, as 12 -vertex icosahedral and 13 -vertex docosahedral clo-so-metallacarboranes, with the added spice of a reactive and indeed interactive alkylidyne ligand on the periphery of the cage.

Lately we have focused upon protonation reactions of these salts. This work was prompted by our earlier observation ${ }^{5}$ that treatment

[^1]Table I. Physical and Infrared Absorption Data ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| compound | color | yield <br> (\%) | $\nu_{\max }(\mathrm{CO})^{b} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-1 \mathrm{I}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (9a) | yellow | 46 | $2013 \mathrm{~s}, 1916 \mathrm{~s}$ (br) |
| [ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] $\left[\right.$ closo-1,8- $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\mathrm{I}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (9b) | orange | 50 | 2007 s, 1917 s (br) |
| [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}$-11-Et-2-I-2,2,2-(CO) ${ }_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (9d) | ochre | 40 | 2007 s, 1916 s (br) |
| [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2,2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10a) | yellow | 95 | 2091 s, $2023 \mathrm{~m}, 2011 \mathrm{~m}$ (sh), 1974 s |
| [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}$-11-Et-2,2,2,2-(CO) ${ }_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10b) | yellow | 92 | 2089 s, $2019 \mathrm{~s}, 2007 \mathrm{~m}$ (sh), $1976 \mathrm{vs}^{\text {c }}$ |
| [closo-1,8-Me $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\left(\eta-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (11a) | purple | 80 | 2043 s, 1982 s |
| [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\left(\eta-\mathrm{MeC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (11b) | purple | 93 | 2040 s, 1976 s |
| $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,7-Me $\left.{ }_{2}-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,7-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (12a) | orange | 46 | 2023 s, 1933 m (sh), 1916 s |
| [ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ][closo-1,7- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-2-\mathrm{I}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,7-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ] (12b) | orange | 47 | 2013 s, 1930 m (sh), 1910 s |

[^2]of the isolobal cyclopentadienyl analogue $\left[\mathrm{W}(\equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\eta\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$ with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the ( $\mu$-alkyne)ditungsten salt $\left[\mathrm{W}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu-\mathrm{RC}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\mathrm{CO}_{4}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\right.$ (3), evidently via the intermediacy of a cationic alkylidene species $\left[\mathrm{W}\{=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{R}\}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]^{+}$. With HI as the protonating agent the stable alkylidene complex $\left[\mathrm{W} /=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{R} \mid \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\eta-\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ )] was isolated, thereby capturing the intermediate species suggested by the $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ studies.
$$
\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OC})_{2} \mathrm{~W}\right.
$$

We suspected that the presence of the polyhedral $W_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ or $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10}$ fragments in the salts $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ would influence the nature of the products obtained from protonation of the $\mathrm{M} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ bonds, since migration and insertion reactions are possibilities that are not readily available to their cyclopentadienyl analogues. In the preceding papers of this series ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}, 6}$ we have described the results obtained by protonating the salts [Y][closo-1,2-Me ${ }_{2}-3-(\equiv$ CR)-3,3-(CO) $\left.{ }_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NEt}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right.$, 1a; $\left.\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{PPh}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4,1 \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NEt}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 1 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford products in which the alkylidyne groups do indeed migrate from the metal to the carborane cage. Thus, treatment of carbon monoxide saturated solutions of 1 a or 1 d with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gives the tetracarbonyl complexes [closo-1,2- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-$ 8-( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-3,3,3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4,4 \mathrm{a}\right.$; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$ ), while protonation in the presence of $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ gives the alkyne complexes [closo-1,2- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-8-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-3,3-$ (CO) $\left.2_{2}-3,3-\left(\eta-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4,5 \mathrm{a}\right.$; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 5 \mathrm{~b}$ ) which lose a CO ligand above $\mathrm{ca} .-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielding the monocarbonyl species [closo-1,2-Me $2-8-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)$-3-(CO)-$3,3-\left(\eta-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ]. Similarly, in the presence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, protonation of 1 a with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gives a mixture of the complexes [closo-1,2-Me $\mathbf{M}_{2}-8-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-3,3-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-$ $3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (6) and [closo-1,2-Me $-8-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-3,3,3-$ (CO) $\left.)_{3}-3-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (7), which equilibrate by disproportionation. In the presence of bidentate phosphines the initially formed alkylidene species can be intercepted to give ylide species of the type [closo-1,2-Me $2_{2}-3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-3-\left\{\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4, \mathrm{Me} ; n=1,2\right)$ (8).

All the products isolated so far from reactions of the salts 1 with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ have carborane cages with adjacent CMe groups. In this paper we describe the results obtained by protonating the salts 1 and 2 with HCl and HI , affording products in which remarkably facile polytopal rearrangements of the CMe groups or eliminations of BH vertices from the carborane cages have occurred. Part of this work has been communicated?

[^3]Chart I



| $R$ | $L$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ | CO |
| $\mathrm{Me}^{2}$ | CO |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me-4}$ | $\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ |
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## Results and Discussion

Treatment of a rapidly stirred $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the salt $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,2- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-3$ - $\left(\equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-3,1,2-$ $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ] (1b) with ca. 1 mol equiv of aqueous HI afforded the complex [ $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] [closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-11- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$-2-I-$2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (9b). A similar reaction using the ethylidynetungsten complex [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1,2- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-3$-( $\overline{\text { ( }}$ $\mathrm{CMe})-3,3-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-3,1,2-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ] (1d) gave [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1,8-$\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\mathrm{Et}-2-\mathrm{I}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (9d). The chloro analogue, [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-11- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\mathrm{Cl}-$ $2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (9a), was likewise prepared by reaction of the salt 1 a with aqueous (or dry gaseous) HCl at ambient temperatures. In each case there was an immediate color change, and an IR spectrum measured less than 30 s after the addition of acid showed the reaction to be complete. When performed at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the synthesis of 9 b proceeded to completion within 10 min , successive IR measurements progressively indicating the course of the reaction.

Data characterizing the species $9 \mathbf{9 a}, 9 \mathrm{~b}$, and 9 d are given in Tables I and II. Due to difficulty experienced in preparing powdered samples of complex 9 b , its $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$analogue 9 c is described in the Experimental Section. Substitution of the cations in these salts produced no observable effect on the chemistry or spectroscopic behavior of their anions. It was immediately apparent from the NMR data that the salts 9 contain three carbonyl ligands and a $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$ fragment. Thus, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 a the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ protons give

Table II. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ FT NMR Data ${ }^{a}$

| compound | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(\delta)$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{6}(\delta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9a | $1.29\left[\mathrm{t}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, J(\mathrm{HH}) 7\right], 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe})$, 1.94 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-4$ ), 2.27 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}$ ), 2.30, 2.31 $\left(\mathrm{m} \times 2, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 3.15$ [q, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, $J(\mathrm{HH}) 7], 6.85,6.97\left[(\mathrm{AB})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, J(\mathrm{AB}) 8\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & 236.0,227.1,225.4(\mathrm{~s} \times 3, \mathrm{WCO}), 145.8\left[\mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 132.3\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right] \\ & 129.4\left[\mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 128.2\left[\mathrm{C}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 62.8(\mathrm{CMe}), 61.4\left(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CMe}^{2}\right), \\ & 53.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 32.0\left(\mathrm{v} \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 31.4,21.9(\mathrm{CMe}), 21.1(\mathrm{Me}-4), 7.9 \\ & \left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 b | $1.54,1.89(\mathrm{~s} \times 2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-4), 2.40$ (m br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}$ ) $6.84,6.86\left[(\mathrm{AB})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$, $J(\mathrm{AB}) 9], 7.45-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$ | 231.3 [WCO, $J(\mathrm{WC}) 124$ ], 221.2, 220.8 [s $\times 2$, WCO, $J(\mathrm{WC}) 65$ ], <br> $146.1\left[\mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 131.8\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 129.2\left[\mathrm{C}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 126.9$ <br> [ $\left.\mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 134.1-127.9(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 63.3(\mathrm{CMe}), 61.9(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CMe}), 32.9$, <br> 29.5 (CMe), 32.1 [q, v br, $\left.\mathrm{BCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{BC}) c a .70\right], 21.9$ (Me-4) |
| 9d | $0.81-0.95$ (m br, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 1.35 [tt, 12 H , $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, J(\mathrm{HH}) 7, J(\mathrm{NH}) 2\right], 1.64,1.95(\mathrm{~s} \times 2$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}), 3.23$ [q, $\left.8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{HH}) 7\right]$ | 231.1 [WCO, $J(W C)$ 121], 221.8 [WCO, $J(W C)$ 140], 221.2 [WCO, $J(\mathrm{WC})$ 129], 62.4 (CMe), 61.9 (br, CMe), $53.2\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 32.8,29.5$ ( CMe ), 15.8 ( v br, $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}$ ), $15.2\left(\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 7.9\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ |
| 10a | 1.70, 1.74 (s $\times 2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}), 2.14,2.42$ [(AB), 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{BCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{AB}) 15\right], 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-4), 6.92,6.98$ $\left[(\mathrm{AB})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, J(\mathrm{AB}) 8\right]$ | 210.2 [WCO, $J(W C) 110], 143.0\left[\mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 134.3\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 129.0$, $128.8\left[\mathrm{C}^{2,3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 70.9$ (CMe), 68.2 (br, CMe), $34.0(\mathrm{CMe}), 31.1$ (v br, $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}$ ), 29.4 (CMe), 21.0 ( $\mathrm{Me}-4$ ) |
| 10b | $\begin{aligned} & 0.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 0.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 1.72, \\ & 1.79(\mathrm{~s} \times 2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}) \end{aligned}$ | 211.0 [WCO, $J(\mathrm{WC}) 112$ ], 71.1 (CMe), 68.2 (br, CMe), 34.2, 29.6 (CMe), 16.1 [q, v br, $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{BC})$ ca. 60], $14.9\left(\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ |
| 11a | 1.62, 1.78 (s $\times 2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}$ ), 2.17 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-4$ ), $1.88,2.22$ [(AB), $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{AB}) 14\right], 6.56,6.73$ [(AB) $\left.)_{2}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, J(\mathrm{AB}) 8\right], 7.19-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$ | 216.3 [WCO, $J(W C)$ 147], 213.4 [WCO, $J(W C) 160], 203.0$ [ $\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$, $J($ WC $) 35], 142.4-128.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 70.9 (CMe), 69.1 (br, CMe), 32.0, 34.6 (CMe), 30.5 [q, br, $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}, J(\mathrm{BC}) 80$ ], 14.2 (Me-4) |
| $11{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.77,1.78(\mathrm{~s} \times 2,6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CMe}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}-4), \\ & 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \equiv \mathrm{CMe}), 6.45,6.71\left[(\mathrm{AB})_{2}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4},\right. \\ & J(\mathrm{AB}) 8], 7.54-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) \end{aligned}$ | 217.4, 214.5 (WCO), 207.2, 196.1 ( $\mathrm{Ph} C_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 142.6, 136.6 [ $\mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{Ph}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ ], $133.1\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 130.9\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}(\mathrm{Ph})\right], 130.1,129.5\left[\mathrm{C}^{2}(\mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 128.6,128.5\left[\mathrm{C}^{3}\left(\mathrm{Ph}\right.\right.$ and $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right], 70.9$ (CMe), 70.3 (br, CMe), 31.6, 29.1, 20.9, 20.2 [CMe, Me-4, $\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ], 30.3 [q, v br, $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}$, $J(B C) \approx 100$ ] |
| 12a | 1.76 (s, 6 H, CMe), 7.43-7.67 (m, $30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ) | 231.6 (WCO), 224.1 ( 2 WCO ), $133.9\left[\mathrm{C}^{4}(\mathrm{Ph})\right], 132.3\left[\mathrm{vt}, \mathrm{C}^{2}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$, $\left.\left\|J(\mathrm{PC})+J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\right)\right\| 11\right], 129.6\left[\mathrm{vt}, \mathrm{C}^{3}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left\|J(\mathrm{PC})+J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\right)\right\| 13\right], 127.2$ [d, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{Ph}), J(\mathrm{PC}) 109\right], 64.5$ (CMe), 31.2 (CMe) |
| 12b | 1.73 (s, 6 H, CMe), 7.45-7.65 (m, 30 H, Ph) | 227.1 (WCO), 220.4 ( 2 WCO), 133.9 [ $\left.\mathrm{C}^{4}(\mathrm{Ph})\right], 132.3$ [vt, $\mathrm{C}^{2}(\mathrm{Ph})$, $\left.\left\|J(\mathrm{PC})+J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\right)\right\| 11\right], 129.6\left[\mathrm{vt}, \mathrm{C}^{3}(\mathrm{Ph}),\left\|J(\mathrm{PC})+J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\right)\right\| 13\right], 127.2$ [d, $\left.\mathrm{C}^{1}(\mathrm{Ph}), J(\mathrm{PC}) 109\right], 64.9$ (CMe), 32.5 (CMe) |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm, coupling constants in Hz , measurements at room temperature in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ unless otherwise stated. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Hydrogen}-1$ decoupled, chemical shifts are positive to high frequency of $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$. ${ }^{c}$ Peaks due to $\mathrm{BCH}_{2}$ protons not observed.


Figure 1. Structure of the anion of [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ][closo-1, $8-\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ (9a), showing the crystallographic labeling scheme.
rise to two broad resonances at $\delta 2.30$ and 2.31 , each integrating to one proton. The corresponding signals in the spectrum of $\mathbf{9 b}$ appear as a broad multiplet at $\delta 2.40$, while the five protons of the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group of 9 d give rise to a broad multiplet in the range $\delta 0.81-0.95$. The related $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals in the $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra are observed as broad resonances at $\delta 32.0$ (9a), 32.1 (9b), and 15.8 ( 9 d ). In accord with the data from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, the $\left.{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mid{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra (see the Experimental Section) all show a deshielded resonance ( $\delta 4.1$ (9a), 4.1 (9b), 5.0 (9d)) which remains a singlet in a fully coupled spectrum, due to the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ moieties. The structure of the salts 9 was not completely evident, however, until an X-ray diffraction study on [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ] [closo-1,8-$\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right) \cdot 2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right](9 \mathrm{a})$ had been carried out. Important bond lengths and angles are listed in Table III, and the anion is shown in Figure 1.

As expected, the tungsten atom is ligated on one side by three carbonyl groups $(W-C(3)=1.992(8), W-C(4)=1.975(8)$, $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)=2.002(9) \AA$ ) and a chlorine atom ( $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Cl}=2.549$ (2)

Table III. Selected Internuclear Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 9a

| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $2.549(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.160(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.13(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.13(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.53(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.83(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.62(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.79(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.73(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.695(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.756(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.78(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.77(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.74(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.697(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.75(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.75(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.76(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.992(8)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.975(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.002(9)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.395(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.70(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.790(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.502(8)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.721(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.78(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.77(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.77(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.732(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.69(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.74(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.74(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.720(9)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $75.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $179.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $75.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $113.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $179.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $76.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $111.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $76.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $134.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $177.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $104.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $119.1(5)$ |

$\AA$ ) and on the other side by the nido-icosahedral $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ fragment in the usual $\eta^{5}$ fashion. The most striking feature of the structure is that, unlike in the precursor alkylidyne complex 1a, the cage CMe groups are no longer adjacent and are located in different pentagonal rings of the cage. Indeed, the framework of the cage has undergone a rearrangement which may be considered as a $120^{\circ}$ clockwise rotation of the triangular face defined by the atoms labeled $\mathrm{B}(2) \cdot \mathrm{C}(9) \cdot \mathrm{B}(3)$. In addition, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ substituent is bonded to one of the boron atoms in the lower pentagonal ring $(B(4)-C(40)=1.62(1) \AA, B(4)-C(40)-C(41)=$ $\left.119.1(5)^{\circ}\right)$. This is the boron atom that was in the $\beta$-position relative to the carbon atoms in the $\mathrm{CCB} B \mathrm{~B}$ ring of the starting complex 1a, as is invariably the case in compounds containing this fragment. ${ }^{4}$

Scheme I ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Key: (i) $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (excess), THF, 5 days; (ii) $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (excess) THF, UV, 3 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ (excess), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, gives $1: 1$ mixture of 4 a and 10a; (iv) $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ (1 mol equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

The presence of the cage $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ group was not unexpected in view of related studies on protonation reactions of the salts 1 in the presence of various Lewis bases (e.g., CO or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) and surely results from migration and insertion of the initially formed alkylidene fragment, CHR , into the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. That this process is accompanied by a cage framework rearrangement, however, is most surprising in view of the low temperatures $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at which it readily occurs since such polytopal rearrangements in metallocarboranes, although not uncommon, generally require appreciably more forcing conditions. ${ }^{8}$ Furthermore, reactioninduced rearrangements of this kind are rare. Hawthorne and co-workers ${ }^{9}$ have previously observed a similar migration of a carbon vertex by treating the rhodacarborane complex [exo-nido-4,9-\{( $\left.\left.\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Rh}\right\}-4,9-\mu-(\mathrm{H})_{2}-7-\mathrm{Me}-8-\mathrm{Ph}-7,8-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]$ with excess $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ to afford [closo-1-Me-2,2-( $\left.\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}-2-\mathrm{H}-8-\mathrm{Ph}-2,1,8-$ $\mathrm{RhC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ]. Although itself uncommonly facile, this process requires temperatures in excess of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thermal isomerization of tetracarbon-cobalt carboranes at room temperature has also been reported. ${ }^{10}$

The mechanism of the facile rearrangement of the CMe groups described herein is essentially unknown, but a number of reactions, which are summarized in Scheme I, have been undertaken in order to determine the conditions necessary to bring about the transformation with the hope of gaining an insight into how the process occurs. Thus, reaction of 4 a with $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in THF at ambient temperatures over a period of 5 days gave the compound [ $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ ] [closo-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,8-$ $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ ) (9a), which was unambiguously shown to contain a rearranged cage by comparison of its NMR spectral parameters with those of authenticated samples of the salt 9a. This observation demonstrates that the polytopal rearrangement and the migration of the alkylidene fragment into a B-H bond are independent processes. When the same reaction was performed in the presence of UV radiation, the salts 9 were again the only products isolated but the reaction was complete within 3 h . That the rate of rearrangement is greatly enhanced in this way suggests that, at least under these conditions, a dissociative mechanism is in operation. Remarkably, the process was also found to be reversible. Hence, treatment of a CO-saturated solution of 9 c with an excess of the reagent $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of 4 a , which was identified by NMR spectroscopy, and the compound [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2,2,2,2-$ (CO) ${ }_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10a). Similarly, a mixture of the compounds [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}-11-\mathrm{Et}-2,2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10b) and 4 b was obtained from reaction of the salt 9 d with excess

[^4]

Figure 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 10 b showing the CMe resonances.
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AgBF 4 . Data characterizing the new compounds 10 a and 10 b are collected in Tables I and II and are entirely consistent with these compounds being isomers, containing rearranged $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ cages, of $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$. Thus, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the protons of the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ group are seen (Table II) as either an AB-type pattern (10a) or a broad unresolved multiplet (10b). The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR data (Experimental Section) confirm the presence of this group with resonances at $\delta 6.3$ and 6.9 for 10 a and 10 b , respectively. That the cage CMe groups are not adjacent in 10a and 10b is demonstrated in Figure 2, which shows the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 10 b in the region where the signals due to the cage CMe nuclei appear. The observation of two resonances establishes that the compounds 10 do not contain a plane of symmetry between the CMe groups like the one present in $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b} .^{\mathbf{6}}$ Moreover, we have found that for compounds in which the two CMe groups are not adjacent and occupy vertices in different pentagonal rings of the cage, one resonance consistently appears much broader than the other. This is in contrast with those compounds containing two nonequivalent CMe groups that occupy vertices adjacent to the tungsten atom, for which the CMe signals are of comparable width. Since both compounds 10 a and 10 b show one broad and one relatively sharp resonance for the CMe nuclei in their ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra (Figure 2), they can be assigned a structure in which the carborane cage system has nonadjacent CMe groups which occupy sites in different pentagonal rings of the cage. Obviously the relative line widths of the signals due to the CMe nuclei cannot alone be taken as absolute proof that the cage has the topology of that in compound 9a. However, when considered alongside the other spectroscopic data we have found this to be a powerful and reliable indicator of the relative positions of the cage CMe groups.

Interestingly, the reaction between the salts 9 and 1 mol equiv of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in the presence of CO gave only the compounds 10 , in


Figure 3. Molecular structure of [closo-1,8-Me $-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$ -$2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2-(\eta-\mathrm{PhCCMe})-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (11b), showing the crystallographic labeling scheme.
which the cage CMe groups remain separated. It should be noted that addition of $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ to THF solutions of the tetracarbonyl species 10 simply yielded the salts 9 and did not lead to a product in which a second polytopal rearrangement had occurred.

Clearly a unique unequivocal mechanism for the cage isomerization process cannot account for all the observations described. However, with the limited amount of data available, the most plausible explanation for the formation of the salts 9 from the compounds 1 would involve protonation of the alkylidyne complex to give an alkylidene species which undergoes migration and insertion of the alkylidene fragment into a B-H bond. Scavenging of CO would then give a tetracarbonyl species. Indeed, this process is known to occur readily at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ if protonation is carried out with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{CO} .{ }^{6}$ Attack at the metal center by the halide ion could then lead to a nido-12-vertex tungstacarborane species, with a formally satisfied 14 polyhedral skeletal electronic-pair count, which would be free to undergo cage rearrangement through diamond-square-diamond processes resulting in the rotation of a triangular face of the cage containing a carbon and two boron atoms. Closure of the nido intermediate and elimination of CO would then yield the observed products. The involvement of such a 12 -vertex nido intermediate in the isomerization of o-carborane ( $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) to $m$-carborane ( $1,7-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) was recently postulated by Edvenson and Gaines. ${ }^{11}$

Compounds 9 are proving to be useful reagents for further syntheses. Hence, treatment of 9 c in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with the alkynes $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ followed by portionwise addition of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ afforded the purple complexes [closo-1,8-Me $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-11$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\left(\eta-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}\right)-2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ $=\mathrm{Ph}, 11 \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}, 11 \mathrm{~b}$ ) in high yield. Data characterizing these complexes are given in Tables I and II, but discussion of the spectroscopic properties is deferred until the results of an X-ray diffraction study on complex 11b have been described. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table IV, and the molecular structure is shown in Figure 3.

As expected, the tungsten atom is coordinated by two CO groups ( $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)=2.010(4), \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)=1.972(5) \AA$ ) and an alkyne molecule which is bound in the normal $\eta^{2}$ fashion (W-C$(20)=2.083(5), \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)=2.047(5), \mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(30)=1.314$ (5) $\AA$ ), with the Ph and Me groups bent away from the metal center $(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)=149.0(3), \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)=147.8$ $\left.(4)^{\circ}\right)$. The parameters for the coordinated alkyne may be compared to those in the compound $\left[\mathrm{W} \mid=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ph}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\right)\right.$ -$\left.\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{12}(\mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{C}=2.061$ (7), 2.04 (2) $\AA, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=1.33$ (3) $\AA$ ).

[^5]Table IV. Selected Internuclear Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 11b

| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.010(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.709(8)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $2.083(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.129(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.485(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.457(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $2.359(5)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $2.369(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.722(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.519(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.779(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.694(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.765(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.827(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.621(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.813(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.759(8)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.774(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.765(8)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.740(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.702(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.755(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.972(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.141(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $2.047(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.314(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.411(4)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $2.394(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $2.368(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.704(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.724(6)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.792(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.725(8)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.712(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.844(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.793(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.775(8)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.758(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.743(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.75(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.717(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(90)$ | $1.517(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $177.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $114.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $84.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $73.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $111.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $139.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $114.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $83.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $69.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $78.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $141.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $109.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $176.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $149.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $104.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $147.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $37.1(2)$ |  |  |

The $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$ icosahedron clearly shows CMe groups having the same disposition as in 9 a .

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectral data for the compounds 11 are in agreement with the solid-state structure established for 11b. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 11a, an AB-type pattern is seen at $\delta 1.88$ and 2.22 due to the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ protons. The corresponding signals in the spectrum of 11 b are not observed, presumably due to coincidence with other resonances, but the presence of the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ fragment is confirmed by the observation of a deshielded resonance at $\delta 11.1$ in the "B NMR spectrum and by a quartet resonance at $\delta 30.3$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum due to the $\mathrm{BCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ nucleus. For 11a, the corresponding signals are seen at $\delta 11.2$ and 30.5 , respectively. In order for the tungsten atom to achieve a filled 18 -electron valence shell, the alkyne must denote 4 electrons to the metal. This is borne out by resonance of the ligated carbon atoms ( $\delta 203.0$, 11a; 207.2 and 196.1, 11b) in the range normally associated with 4 -electron-donor alkyne ligands. ${ }^{13}$

In accord with a structure in which the cage CMe groups are located in different pentagonal rings, both 11a and 11b show two resonances for the cage $C$ Me nuclei in their ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra ( $\delta 70.9$ and $69.1,11 \mathrm{a} ; 70.9$ and $70.3,11 \mathrm{~b}$ ), one appearing much broader than the other in each case. Further reactions of the salts 9 have been undertaken, with results to be published elsewhere.

Having uncovered such a facile rearrangement of the 12 -vertex closed polyhedron present in the salts 1 , it was important to investigate protonation reactions of the salt [ $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ [closo-$1,6-\mathrm{Me}_{2}-4$-( $\left.\equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-4,4-(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-4,1,6-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] (2), which contains a 13 -vertex cage system.

Reaction of 2 with HX in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was markedly slower than the protonations of the salts 1 described above and afforded the orange salts $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,7-Me $-2-\mathrm{X}-2,2,2-\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)-2,1,7-$ $\left.\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, 12 \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, 12 \mathrm{~b}$ ) overnight. It was immediately apparent from the spectroscopic data for compounds 12a and 12b (Tables I and II and Experimental Section) that the $\mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ fragment present in the precursor alkylidyne complex had been lost and, furthermore, that the $\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ cage system was no longer present. Both 12a and 12b showed three CO absorptions in their IR spectra (Table I), and correspondingly, signals due to three CO groups were observed in their ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$

[^6]Table V. Selected Internuclear Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $12 b$

| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.899(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.76(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.15(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.53(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $1.15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $2.357(9)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.400(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.68(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.78(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.73(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $1.74(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(7)$ | $1.77(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.75(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.76(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $1.75(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(9)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.75(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.75(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.97(1)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.973(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.963(9)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.455(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $2.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.55(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $2.372(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.73(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.75(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(9)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(10)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.78(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.78(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(7)-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $1.77(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(9)-\mathrm{B}(10)$ | $1.76(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.80(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{B}(11)$ | $1.75(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $131.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $108.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $102.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $74.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.5(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $75.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $98.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $108.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $74.7(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $74.8(3)$ |  |  |



Figure 4. Structure of the anion of $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ [closo-1,7- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ - 2 -I-$\left.2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-2,1,7-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ (12b), showing the crystallographic labeling scheme.

NMR spectra (Table II), the only other resonances in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, apart from those assigned to the $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$ cation, being due to cage CMe groups. In accord with this, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed only signals arising from the cage CMe protons and the countercation (Table II). Most revealing of all, the ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of 12a and 12b (Experimental Section) each showed six resonances in a $1: 2: 2: 1: 2: 1$ ratio corresponding to only nine boron atoms. In order to fully characterize these compounds, an X-ray diffraction study was carried out on 12b. The results are summarized in Table V, and the structure is shown in Figure 4.

The anion of 12 b consists of a tungsten atom ligated by three CO groups $(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(5)=1.97$ (1), $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(6)=1.973$ (8), $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ $=1.963(9) \AA$ ), an iodine atom ( $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{I}=2.899(2) \AA)$, and an $\eta^{5}$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ cage system. Thus, the docosahedral $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10}$ framework in the starting complex 2 has been transformed to icosahedral $W_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9}$ geometry. The lower pentagonal ring of this icosahedron has a CBCBB arrangement of atoms. We have observed this topology previously in compounds 13 a and 13 b , which were also formed from the salts 2 via a cage degradation process brought about by protonation with $\mathrm{HBF}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of $[\mathrm{M}(\equiv$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}){ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$

The formation of $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ involves the loss of a BH vertex as well as the alkylidene fragment $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$. It would be unwise to suggest a mechanism for the loss of these fragments on the basis of the available data, but in view of the results obtained from protonation reactions of the salts 1 , it seems likely that protonation would initially give an alkylidene species. If the alkylidene fragment were then to migrate and insert into a $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the cage, the strain inherent in the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ framework
could be the driving force for the expulsion of a $B(H) C$ $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$ fragment, possibly as $\mathrm{HB}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4$. Whatever the mechanism, it is becoming increasingly apparent that the process is extremely facile and is one that the salts 2 frequently follow in their reaction chemistry. It is noteworthy that degradation of 13 -vertex to 12 -vertex $\mathrm{CoC}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{7}$ cages under acidic conditions has been observed. ${ }^{14}$

## Conclusions

The work described in this paper further highlights the diverse nature of the species obtainable through reactions of the salts 1 and 2. The observed separation of cage CMe groups occurs at unprecedentedly low temperatures and was totally unexpected. Why the barrier to rearrangement should be so low in these systems is, at the present time, puzzling and clearly warrants further study. The reversible character of this isomerization (4 $\leftrightarrow 9$ ) is, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of such a process for a metallacarborane, since separation of the carbon atom vertices of these cage systems generally leads to a thermodynamically more stable product. Only one other example of reverible cage isomerization is known to us, namely the conversion of $1,7-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ to $1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ via 2-electron reduction with sodium metal. ${ }^{12}$ That an increase of negative charge in the cage might remove the thermodynamic preference for separated carbon vertices was predicted by Hoffmann and Lipscomb, ${ }^{16}$ and such considerations may also be relevant to this work. Much further work is likely to be required, however, before these processes receive a satisfactory explanation. Although not totally unexpected in view of previous results obtained in this laboratory, the expulsion of a BH vertex from the $\mathrm{MC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ cage system is a remarkable phenomenon in view of the acidic reaction conditions under which it occurs.

## Experimental Section

Petroleum ether refers to that fraction of $\mathrm{bp} 40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Experiments were carried out using Schlenk-tube techniques under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, and all solvents were rigorously dried before use. Alumina (Aldrich, Brockmann activity III), silica (Fluka, Kieselgel 70-230 mesh), and Florisil (Aldrich, 100-200 mesh) were used for chromatography employing water-jacketed columns of given dimensions at ca. $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise stated. The salts 1 were prepared as previously reported, ${ }^{17}$ and the salt 2 was prepared from [ $\mathrm{W}\left(\equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right.$ )$\left.\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)_{2}\right]$ by the method previously described for the $\mathrm{NEt}_{4}$ salt, ${ }^{18}$ using $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ in place of $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. Hydrochloric and hydroiodic acids were used as aqueous solutions, of $36 \%$ and $57 \%$ concentrations, respectively (except where otherwise stated). The NMR spectra were recorded with JEOL JNM GX270 and GX400 spectrometers, and the IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer FT1600 spectrometer. Boron-11 NMR data are recorded with chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in ppm, measurements in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at ambient temperature. All are hydrogen-1 decoupled, and chemical shifts are positive to high frequency of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (external).
Synthesis of [ $\mathrm{NE}_{4}$ ] [loso-1,8- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$-11-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$-2-Cl-2,2,2(CO) $\left.)_{3}-\mathbf{2 , 1 , 8}-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right](9 \mathrm{a})$. A CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $1 \mathrm{a}(0.11$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred rapidly at room temperature and treated dropwise with 1 equiv of aqueous HCl . An immediate color change from orange to brown was observed, and an IR spectrum of the mixture showed the reaction was complete within 30 s . Solvent volume was reduced in vacuo to ca. 3 mL , for chromatography on alumina ( $3 \times 5$ cm column) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elution of the column with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded an orange band which was collected and from which solvent was removed in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue by slow diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ) into a THF solution ( 2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded yellow crystals of 9 a $(0.055 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}: ~ \delta 4.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 0.6,-4.3,-5.4,-7.1$, $-10.0,-11.5,-12.3,-15.2$ ( $1 \mathrm{~B} \times 8$ ).
Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{4}\right.$ [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}$-11-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$-2-I-2,2,2(CO) $\left.\mathbf{3}_{3} \mathbf{- 2 , 1 , 8}-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right](\mathbf{9 c}) . \mathrm{A} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathbf{1 c}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}$,

[^7]Table VI. Crystallographic Data for 9a, 11b, and 12ba

|  | 9a | 11b | 12b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~W} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{INO}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ |
| fw | 737.3 | 620.6 | 1093.8 |
| crystal color, shape | orange rhombs | purple prisms | orange plates |
| crystal dimens, mm | $0.1 \times 0.2 \times 0.6$ | $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.7$ | $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ |
| crystal system | triclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| space group (no.) | $P \overline{1}$ (2) | PI (2) | $P 2_{1 / c}$ ( 14 ) |
| $a, \AA$ | 9.939 (4) | 8.092 (2) | 10.720 (2) |
| $b, \AA$ | 11.974 (6) | 11.019 (3) | 13.382 (3) |
| $c, \AA$ | 14.108 (6) | 16.055 (5) | 31.973 (6) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 96.41 (4) | 73.89 (2) |  |
| $\beta$, deg | 90.43 (3) | 75.40 (2) | 90.46 (2) |
| $\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$ | 99.27 (3) | 84.61 (2) |  |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 1646 (1) | 1331 (1) | 4587 (2) |
| $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $d_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.49 | 1.55 | 1.58 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha), \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 36.9 | 44.5 | 33.5 |
| $F(000)$, e | 742 | 608 | 2144 |
| $2 \theta$ range, deg | 3-50 | 3-50 | 4-50 |
| no. of unique reflens | 5818 | 5056 | 8076 |
| no. of obsd reflens | 4949 | 4694 | 5158 |
| criterion for obsd $n$ [ $F_{0} \geq n \sigma\left(F_{0}\right)$ ] | $n=5$ | $n=6$ | $n=5$ |
| $R(R)^{b}$ | 0.036 (0.036) | 0.023 (0.025) | 0.036 (0.035) |
| final electron density diff features (max $/ \mathrm{min}$ ), e $\AA^{-3}$ | $1.20 /-0.57$ | 0.62/-0.74 | $0.61 /-0.63$ |

${ }^{a}$ Data collected at 298 K on a Siemens $\mathrm{R} 3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{V}$ automated diffractometer operating in the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan mode; graphite monochromated Mo K $\alpha$ X -radiation, $\bar{\lambda}=0.71069 \AA$. Refinement was by full matrix least squares with a weighting scheme of the form $w^{-1}=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)+g \mid F_{0}{ }^{2}\right]$ with $g=$ 0.00055 ( $\mathbf{9 a}$ ), 0.00045 (11b), $0.00050(\mathbf{1 2 b}) ; \sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)$ is the variance in $F_{0}$ due to counting statistics; $g$ was chosen so as to minimize variation in $\sum w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$ with $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \cdot{ }^{b} R=\sum \| F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \sum\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|, R^{\prime}=\sum w^{1 / 2}| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \sum w^{1 / 2}\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$.
1.19 mmol ) was stirred rapidly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with 1 equiv of aqueous HI . Successive IR measurements progressively indicated the course of the reaction, which was complete within 10 min . The mixture was warmed to room temperature and solvent volume reduced in vacuo to ca. 3 mL , for chromatography on alumina ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elution of the column with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded an orange band which was collected and from which solvent was removed in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1: 20)$ with vigorous stirring afforded orange microcrystals of $9 \mathrm{c}(0.56 \mathrm{~g})$. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR of $9 \mathrm{~b}: \delta 4.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right),-4.2(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{~B}),-8.2(1 \mathrm{~B})$, -10.9 (2 B), $-12.9,-16.2(1 \mathrm{~B} \times 2)$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}\right]\left[\text { closo-1,8-Me }{ }_{2} \text {-11-Et-2-I-2,2,2-(CO) }\right)_{3}$-2,1,8$\mathbf{W C}_{2} \mathbf{B}_{9} \mathbf{H}_{8}$ ] (9d). A $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $1 \mathrm{~d}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred rapidly at room temperature and treated dropwise with 1 equiv of aqueous HI. An immediate color change from yellow to brown occurred, and an IR spectrum of the mixture showed the reaction was complete within 30 s . Solvent volume was reduced in vacuo to ca .3 mL , for chromatography on alumina ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elution of the column with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded an orange band which was collected and from which solvent was removed in vacuo. Recrystallization of the residue from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 20)$ with vigorous stirring afforded ochre microcrystals of $9 \mathrm{~d}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}: \delta 5.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right)$, -4.2 (2 B) $,-4.9,-7.7,-10.0,-11.2,-12.9,-16.8(1 \mathrm{~B} \times 6)$.

Synthesis of [closo-1,8-Me $\left.{ }_{2}-11-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-\mathbf{2 , 2 , 2 , 2 - ( C O}\right)_{4}-\mathbf{2 , 1 , 8}-$ $\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10a). A stream of dry CO gas was passed through a solution of $9 \mathrm{c}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 10 min . This saturated solution was then treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 30 min until the reaction was complete (IR), all the while maintaining a gentle flow of CO through the mixture. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting slurry transferred to a chromatography column packed with Florisil $(2 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm})$. Elution of the column at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded a yellow band which, after removal of solvent in vacuo to ca. 4 mL and cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielded yellow microcrystals of $10 \mathrm{a}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\delta 6.3$ (s, 1 B, $\left.\mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 1.5,-4.3,-5.7(1 \mathrm{~B} \times 3),-7.1(2 \mathrm{~B}),-11.9,-12.2,-15.5(1 \mathrm{~B}$ $\times 3$ ).

Synthesis of [closo-1,8-Me $-11-\mathrm{Et}-2,2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{4}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (10b). A similar preparation to that described for 10 a above, starting from $9 \mathrm{~d}\left(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) and treating with CO and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ ( 20 mg , 0.10 mmol ) gave, after workup as described for 10a, yellow microcrystals of $10 \mathrm{~b}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}: \delta 6.9\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right), 1.4,-4.8,-6.3(1$ $\mathrm{B} \times 3),-7.3,-11.9(2 \mathrm{~B} \times 2),-16.0(1 \mathrm{~B})$.

Synthesis of [closo-1,8-Me $\mathbf{M}_{2}$-11-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)$-2-( $\left.\boldsymbol{\eta}-\mathrm{PhC}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$-2,2-$\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{2}-2,1,8-\mathrm{WC}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right](11 \mathrm{a})$. The reagents $9 \mathrm{c}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CPh}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 15 mL ). Addition of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions until the reaction was complete (IR) gave a dark mixture. The solvent was removed in vacuo and $n$-hexane ( 2 mL ) added. The resulting slurry was transferred via a syringe to a chromatography column (alumina, $2 \times 15$
cm ), from which a purple fraction was then eluted with $n$-hexane. Reduction of the volume of this eluate to ca .3 mL by removal of solvent in vacuo, followed by cooling to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, afforded purple crystals of 11a $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\{\mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}: \delta 11.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right),-1.2(2 \mathrm{~B}),-2.3,-5.9$, $-7.8,-11.2,-12.8,-13.7(1 \mathrm{~B} \times 6)$.

Synthesis of [closo-1,8-Me ${ }_{2}$-11-( $\left.\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)-2-\left(\eta-\mathrm{MeC}_{2} \mathbf{P h}\right)$-2,2(CO) ${ }_{2}$-2,1,8-W $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ] (11b). A similar synthesis employing 9c ( 0.20 $\mathrm{g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 8.86 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ led to the isolation of purple microcrystals of $11 \mathrm{~b}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\delta 11.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{BCH}_{2}\right),-1.2$ to -13.1 (br, 8 B ).

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\right.$ closo-1,7-Me ${ }_{2}-2-\mathrm{Cl}-2,2,2-(\mathrm{CO})_{3}-\mathbf{2 , 1 , 7}$ $\mathbf{W C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ ] (12a). Aqueous HCl ( 1 mL of a 0.33 M solution) was added to a solution of $2(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 16 h . After this time the solvent was evaporated and the residue dried in vacuo for 2 h . The residue was then redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, adsorbed onto Kieselgel (ca. 3 g ), and transferred to the top of a Kieselgel chromatography column ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a yellow eluate from which orange microcrystals of 12 a were obtained ( 0.11 g ) by reducing the volume of the solvent to ca .5 mL in vacuo and adding petroleum ether $\left.(10 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mid{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\delta-6.5(1 \mathrm{~B}),-8.7,-9.8(2 \mathrm{~B}$ $\times 2$ ), $-11.3(1 \mathrm{~B}),-13.2(2 \mathrm{~B}),-13.8(1 \mathrm{~B})$.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathbf{N}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\text { closo-1,7-Me } \mathbf{M}_{2}-\mathbf{2 - I - 2 , 2 , 2 - ( C O}\right)_{3}-\mathbf{2 , 1 , 7 -}$ $W_{2} \mathbf{B}_{9} \mathbf{H}_{9}$ ( $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ ). To a rapidly stirred solution of $2(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous HI ( 10 drops), and stirring of the resulting mixture continued for 12 h . Solvent was removed and the residue dried in vacuo for 2 h before being redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20 mL ), adsorbed onto Kieselgel (ca. 3 g ), and transferred to the top of a Kieselgel chromatography column $(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether (2:1) removed a yellow fraction from which removal of solvent in vacuo gave $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ as an orange powder ( 0.18 g ). $\left.{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}: \delta-6.8(1 \mathrm{~B}),-8.8,-10.3(2 \mathrm{~B} \times 2),-11.5(1 \mathrm{~B}),-13.1$ (2 B), -14.2 ( 1 B ).

Polytopal Rearrangement by Chloride Substitution. A mixture of compound $4 \mathrm{a}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90$ mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) and stirred for 5 days. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture and the resultant slurry worked up as described for synthesis of 9 a above. Yellow microcrystals of $9 \mathrm{a}(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield) were thus obtained.

It was found that the same result could be achieved by UV irradiation ( $250-\mathrm{W}$ mercury lamp) of the reaction mixture, prepared in the same way, in a water-cooled jacketed Schlenk flask. Within 3 h the reaction was complete (IR), and workup as described above yielded yellow microcrystals of 9 a ( $0.041 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ yield).

Any possible significance of water of crystallization in the chloride salts used for these reactions was ruled out by replacement of $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with dry $\mathrm{PPh}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$. Following identical procedures to those described above, essentially unaltered yields of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{4}{ }^{+}$analogue of 9 a were obtained.

A mixture of $10 \mathrm{a}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.089 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.90 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) and stirred for 5 days. Workup as described above yielded yellow microcrystals of $9 \mathrm{a}(0.045 \mathrm{~g}$, $90 \%$ yield).

Reverse Isomerization Reactions. $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of 9 c ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with CO gas, as described for the synthesis of compounds 10 above. Once the solution was saturated with the gas, a single portion of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and a slow stream of CO maintained for 30 min until the reaction was complete (IR). Solvent was removed in vacuo and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ added to the residue for transfer to the top of a Florisil-packed chromatography column ( $2 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Elution at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ separated two yellow fractions. Solvent was removed from each, and both products were recrystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ by cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, two microcrystalline yellow samples were obtained and identified in the usual manner, as compounds $10 \mathrm{a}(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ yield) and $4 \mathrm{a}(0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield), respectively.
X-ray Crystal Structure Determinations. The crystal data and other experimental details for compounds $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{1 1 b}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ are summarized in Table VI. Crystals of 9 a were grown by the slow diffusion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ into a THF solution of the complex at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, those of 11 b from $n$-hexane $(-20$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and those of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ by the slow diffusion of petroleum ether into a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the complex at ambient temperatures. For compound 9a the asymmetric unit contains half a molecule of THF, which is disordered about the inversion center ( $0,0.5,0.5$ ). The presence of THF was confirmed by recording a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the same batch of crystals.
All data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and X-ray absorption effects, the latter by an empirical method based on azimuthal scan data. ${ }^{19}$ The structures were solved by Patterson and difference Fourier
methods, by which all non-hydrogen atoms were located and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The carborane cage hydrogen atoms of 11b were directly located and refined without positional constraints but with fixed isotropic thermal parameters ( $U_{\text {tso }}=0.08 \AA^{2}$ ). All other hydrogen atoms were included at calculated positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, 0.96$; $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$, $1.1 \AA^{20}$ ) with fixed isotropic thermal parameters ( $1.2 U_{\text {equiv }}$ of the parent carbon or boron atom).

Calculations were performed on a Digital micro-vax II computer with the SHELXTL PLUS suite of programs. ${ }^{19}$ Atomic scattering factors with corrections for anomalous dispersion are inlaid in the programs.
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#### Abstract

The molecular structures of a series of complexes cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}, \mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ have been investigated by X -ray diffraction methods. The data indicate a large range of apparent Mo=O bond lengths. The apparent lengthening of the $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$ bond in these complexes is rationalized in terms of compositional disorder with the isostructural trichloride derivative mer- $\mathrm{MoCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}$. These results suggest that, contrary to the original suggestion (Chatt, J.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W. Chem. Commun. 1971, 655-656), there is no evidence for bond-stretch or distortional isomerism for the cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}$ system.


## Introduction

More than 20 years ago, Butcher and Chatt reported a series of octahedral molybdenum oxo complexes with a meridonal arrangement of phosphine ligands, mer- $\mathrm{MoOX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{NCO}, \mathrm{NCS} ; \mathrm{PR}_{3}=\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{PEt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{PPr}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{PBu}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$, $\left.\mathrm{PMePh}_{2}, \mathrm{PEtPh}_{2}, \mathrm{PPr}^{n} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}, \mathrm{MeC}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right]_{3}\right) .{ }^{1}$ The complexes mer- $\mathrm{MoOX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{3}$ were either blue or green, depending upon the nature of both X and $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$. However, one of these complexes, $m e r-\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$, could be isolated in both blue and green isomeric forms. The blue and green isomers had very similar melting points (blue, $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; green $153-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) but different $\nu\left(\mathrm{Mo}=0\right.$ ) stretching frequencies (blue, $954 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; green, $943 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and were originally proposed to be geometric isomers, namely cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$ and trans-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$, differing only in whether the two chloride ligands were cis or trans. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction established a cis configuration

[^8]of chloride ligands for the blue isomer, i.e., cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}-$ ( $\left.\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$, from which it was concluded that the green isomer (and, hence, all the green complexes) possessed a trans configuration, as illustrated in Figure 1. However, following the original report, ${ }^{1}$ Chatt, Manojlovic-Muir, and Muir determined the molecular structure of the green complex mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right.$ and found, to their surprise, that the chloride ligands were cis and not trans. ${ }^{2}$ Although the alkyl substituents on the phosphine ligands adopted different orientations in blue cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$ and green cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}$, the coordination environments around the molybdenum centers were similar for both molecules, with the exception that the $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$ bond length in green cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}(1.803(11) \AA)$ was significantly longer than that observed in blue cis-mer- $\mathrm{MoOCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}(1.676$ (7)
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